# Symplectic Quantization A new deterministic approach to the dynamics of quantum fluctuations inspired by statistical mechanics



GRAN SASSO

INFN



# Symplectic Quantization

Collaboration with:

**Roberto Livi (Florence)** 

- Giacomo Gradenigo (my PhD supervisor in GSSI)
- Marco Bonvini (QCD, INFN Roma)
- Francesco Scardino (Sapienza University of Rome)

# Outline

- Conceptual introduction

- Symplectic quantization: Hamiltonian dynamics for quantum fluctuations
- Proof of equivalence 3
- First numerical simulations: the scalar field
- Conclusions and perspectives 5

#### References From Path integrals to Stochastic quantization: 'Perturbation theory without gauge fixing', stochastic dynamics for quantum fluctuations G. Parisi, Y.S. Wu, Sci. Sin. 24, 483 (1981). *Symplectic quantization I: dynamics of quantum fluctiations in a relativistic field theory',* G. Gradenigo, R. Livi, Foundation of Physics 51 (2021). Symplectic quantization and the Feynman propagator: a new real-time numerical approach to lattice field theory M.Giachello, G. Gradenigo e-Print: 2403.17149 [hep-lat]





 $\mathcal{Z}(\hbar) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S[\phi]}$ 

 $dx_0 = -id\tilde{x_0}$ Wick rotation

#### Feynman Path Integral



In the Euclidean space it is possible to give to the path integral a probabilistic interpretation

THIS WAS NOT POSSIBLE IN THE **ORIGINAL MINKOWSKIAN METRIC** 

POSSIBLE **METHODS IN LITERATURE:** 

### FROM PATH INTEGRALS TO STOCHASTIC QUANTIZATION

$$\mathcal{Z}_E(\hbar) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S_E[\phi]}$$

### Euclidean Path Integral

$$P_{\hbar}[\phi] = \exp(-S_E[\phi]/\hbar)/2$$

**BOLTZMANN WEIGTH:** we need to sample configurations according to this probability distribution



1. Monte Carlo methods of importance

2. Parisi-Wu's Stochastic Quantisation











Stochastic algorithm to update the field configuration in space-time

 $\phi(x): \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 

Sequence of configurations parametrised by an integer index: discrete 'time' of the algorithm

 $\phi_i(x): \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 

# FROM PATH INTEGRALS TO STOCHASTIC QUANTIZATION Dynamics in QFT: STOCHASTIC QUANTIZATION

2. Parisi-Wu's Stochastic Quantisation

$$P[\phi_1(x)|\phi_0(x)] \sim e^{-(S_E[\phi_1] - S_E[\phi_0])}$$
  
$$\Phi_n[\phi_0(x)] = \phi_n(x) \qquad \text{Stochastic dynamics}$$

$$\{\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \phi_3(x), \dots, \phi_N(x)\}$$

**DYNAMICS**: ordered sequence of field configurations TIME: labelling of the ordered sequence









2. Parisi-Wu's Stochastic Quantization

We promote the algorithmic time We model the sequence of quantum fluctuations by means of a Langevin equation to a continuous parameter

 $\phi(x,\tau): \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 

The Langevin equation is equivalent to Fokker-Plank

# FROM PATH INTEGRALS TO STOCHASTIC QUANTIZATION Dynamics in QFT: STOCHASTIC QUANTIZATION

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \phi(x,\tau) = -\frac{\delta S_E[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} + \eta(x,\tau)$$
$$\langle \eta(x,\tau)\eta(y,\tau')\rangle = 2\hbar \ \delta^{(4)}(x-y) \ \delta(\tau-\tau')$$
$$\langle \eta(x,\tau)\rangle = 0$$

 $\frac{d}{dt}P_t[\phi] = \hat{H}_{FP} \circ P_t[\phi]$ 

$$P_{eq}[\phi] \propto e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S_E[\phi]}$$



### <sup>2</sup> SYMPLECTIC QUANTIZATION: HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS From a stochastic to a deterministic dynamics: the definition of a conserved quantity

1. Analogously to what done in Hybrid Monte Carlo (HMC), we can interpret the stochastic dynamics as the approximation of a deterministic one.

A. 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \phi(x, \tau) = -\frac{\delta S_E[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} + \eta(x, \tau)$$

- 2. We introduce a conjugate momentum (with respect to the intrinsic time)  $\pi(x,\tau) \propto \dot{\phi}(x,\tau)$
- 3. We define in a reasonable way the Hamiltonian of our system

$$\mathcal{A}_E(\phi,\pi) = \frac{c_s^2}{2} \int d^4x \ \pi^2(x) +$$

The relativistic action is taken as potential for a generalised action



 $S_E[\phi]$ 

$$S_E[\phi] = \int d^4x \left[ \sum_{\mu=0}^{d-1} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{\mu}} \right)^2 + V \right]$$







### **SYMPLECTIC QUANTIZATION: HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS** From a stochastic to a deterministic dynamics: equations of motion

#### **STOCHASTIC DYNAMICS**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \phi(x,\tau) = -\frac{\delta S_E[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} + \eta(x,\tau)$$

 $\tau = \text{ALGORITHMIC TIME}$ 

### **HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS**

$$\dot{\pi}(x,\tau) = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{A}_E}{\delta \phi(x,\tau)}$$
$$\dot{\phi}(x,\tau) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{A}_E}{\delta \pi(x,\tau)}$$

### **INTRINSIC TIME**

The physical 'microscopic dynamics' of quantum fluctuations





# **SYMPLECTIC QUANTIZATION: HAMILTONIAN DYNA** Probabilistic interpretation and well posedness



### Functional Approach to Quantum Field Theory probabilistically well defined

**Microcanonical Postulate** 

All field configurations characterised by identical value of ACTION are equally likely

$$[\phi, \pi] = \frac{1}{\Omega_E(A)} \delta \left[ A - \mathcal{A}_E(\phi, \pi) \right] \qquad \text{PROBABILITY}$$

 $\mathcal{D}\phi \ \mathcal{D}\pi \ \delta \left[A - \mathcal{A}_E(\phi, \pi)\right]$ **PARTITION SUM** 

### **ERGODICITY ASSUMPTION**





### **SYMPLECTIC QUANTIZATION: HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS** Probabilistic interpretation and well posedness

### NO NEED OF IMAGINARY TIME

$$\dot{\pi}(x,\tau) = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[\phi,\pi]}{\delta \phi(x,\tau)}$$
$$\dot{\phi}(x,\tau) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[\phi,\pi]}{\delta \pi(x,\tau)}$$

**Original Relati** Action

> Symplectic Quantisatio Action

> > $\mathcal{A}[\phi,$

 $P_A[\phi,\pi] =$ 

### MICROCANONICAL ENSEMBLE

 $\Omega(A) =$ 

wistic 
$$S[\phi] = \int d^4x \ \mathcal{L}(\partial_\mu \phi, \phi) \quad \mathcal{L}(\partial_\mu \phi, \phi) = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V$$
  
on  $\mathcal{A}[\phi, \dot{\phi}] = \int d^4x \ \left[\frac{1}{2c_s^2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \mathcal{L}(\partial_\mu \phi, \phi)\right] = \int d^4x \ \mathcal{H}(\phi, \dot{\phi})$ 

$$\pi] = \int d^4x \quad \left[\frac{c_s^2}{2}\pi^2(x) - \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_0}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 + V[\phi(x)]\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Omega(A)} \delta \left[ A - \mathcal{A}(\phi, \pi) \right]$$
 **PROBABILITY**

**PARTITION SUM** 

$$\mathcal{D}\phi \ \mathcal{D}\pi \ \delta \left[A - \mathcal{A}(\phi, \pi)\right]$$









#### **HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS**

$$\begin{split} \dot{\pi}(x,\tau) &= -\frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[\phi,\pi]}{\delta \phi(x,\tau)} \\ \dot{\phi}(x,\tau) &= \frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[\phi,\pi]}{\delta \pi(x,\tau)} \end{split}$$

### **SYMPLECTIC QUANTIZATION: HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS**

 $\phi(x_0, \mathbf{x}, \tau)$ 

### Role of the intrinsic time

Physical time as the fourth axis of Minkowski space-time

Parameter labelling the dynamics of quantum fluctuations of a given point of space-time (intrinsic time)







### **SYMPLECTIC QUANTIZATION: HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS Recovering causality**

Purely space-like separation  $x - y = (0, \delta x_1, 0, 0)$ Exponential damping  $\langle \phi(x)\phi(y)\rangle \sim e^{-m\delta x_1}$ 

Purely time-like separation

 $X - Y = (\delta x_0, 0, 0, 0)$ 

Propagation

 $\langle \phi(x)\phi(y)\rangle \sim e^{-im\delta x_0}$ 



### **SYMPLECTIC QUANTIZATION: HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS** 3 **Relation to Feynman Path Integral**

This formalism is well defined because we can go back to the Feynman Path integral by means of a Fourier transform (change of statistical ensemble):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(\hbar) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dA \ e^{-iA/\hbar} \ \Omega(A) \\ \mathcal{Z}(\hbar) &= \int dA \ e^{-iA/\hbar} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\pi \ \delta \left(A - \mathcal{A}(\phi, \pi)\right) \\ \mathcal{Z}(\hbar) &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\pi \ \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^4x \ \pi^2(x) + \frac{i}{\hbar}S[\phi]\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Dependence on momenta is quadratic, the generalised action is separable

$$\mathcal{Z}(\hbar) \propto \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{iS[\phi]/\hbar}$$

$$\left\{ S[\phi] \right\}$$



#### PERTURBATIVE EQUIVALENCE OF THE MICROCANONICAL GENERATING 3 FUNCTIONAL WITH THE PATH INTEGRAL FORMULATION

A. Expand the field  $\phi(x)$  in an orthonormal basis

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi_n(x) c_n \qquad \int d^d x \, \phi_n(x) \phi_m(x) = \delta_{mn}$$

B. Prove that in the thermodynamic limit ( $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) the microcanonical ensemble is equivalent to the canonical

$$\Omega[\mathcal{A}, J] = \frac{1}{\Omega(\mathcal{A}, 0)} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\pi \,\delta \left(\mathcal{A} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + S[\phi] + J\phi\right)$$

C. We then use the SADDLE POINT APPROXIMATION on

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}[\hbar, J] = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{A}(\hbar, J) + \ln \Omega[\mathcal{A}(\hbar, J), J] + \ln \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mathcal{A} \, e^{\frac{\mathcal{A}^2}{2} (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathcal{A}^2} \ln \Omega[\mathcal{A}, J]|_{\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}(\hbar, J)}}$$

$$\int \mathcal{D}_N \phi \equiv \prod_{n=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^\infty dc_n \qquad \qquad N = \frac{1}{\pi^d} V \Lambda^d$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}[\hbar, J] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mathcal{A} \, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{A}} \, \Omega(\mathcal{A}, J) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mathcal{A} \, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{A} + \ln \Omega[J, \mathcal{A}]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{A}} \ln \Omega[\mathcal{A}, J] \Big|_{A = A(\hbar, J)} = \frac{i}{\hbar}$$

 $_{,J)})+\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}^{3})$ 



#### PERTURBATIVE EQUIVALENCE OF THE MICROCANONICAL GENERATII 3 FUNCTIONAL WITH THE PATH INTEGRAL FORMULATION

D. We consider the one loop expansion around the classical field

$$S[\phi] = S[\phi_c] + \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi} \Big|_{\phi = \phi_c} (\phi - \phi_c) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta \phi(x) \delta \phi(y)} \Big|_{\phi = \phi_c} (\phi - \phi_c)^2 + \dots$$

E. The integral is the area of a 2N dimensional

$$\Omega[\mathcal{A}, J] = \operatorname{Det}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-S''[\phi_c]) \frac{1}{\Gamma(N)} (\mathcal{A} + S[\phi_c] + J\phi_c)^{N-1}$$

F. If we impose the saddle point equation and consider the leading order we find  $\Omega_T[\hbar]$ 

$$\mathcal{A}(\hbar,J) = \mathcal{A}(\hbar,0) = -i\hbar N$$

 $\times$ 

= De

$$\Omega[\mathcal{A}, J] = \frac{1}{\Omega[\mathcal{A}, 0]}$$

$$\times \int \mathcal{D}\phi_N \mathcal{D}\pi_N \,\delta\left(A - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + S[\phi_c] + J\phi_c + \frac{1}{2}S''[\phi_c]\phi^2\right)$$
I sphere

$$\begin{split} \dot{a}, J] &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \Omega[\mathcal{A}(\hbar, J), J] \\ & \underset{\to \infty}{\text{im}} \operatorname{Det}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-S''[\phi_c]) \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}(\hbar, J)}{N}\right)^N \\ & \left(1 + \frac{S[\phi_c] + J\phi_c}{\mathcal{A}(\hbar, J)}\right)^N \\ & \operatorname{et}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-S''[\phi_c]) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S[\phi_c] + \frac{i}{\hbar}J\phi_c} \end{split}$$



#### PERTURBATIVE EQUIVALENCE OF THE MICROCANONICAL GENERATING 3 FUNCTIONAL WITH THE PATH INTEGRAL FORMULATION

G. We obtain the one-loop Minkowskian effective action of a scalar bosonic theory

$$\ln \Omega_T[\hbar, J] = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{Det}(-S''[\phi_c]) + \frac{i}{\hbar} S[\phi_c] + \frac{i}{\hbar} J\phi_c$$

$$\mathcal{Z}[\hbar, J] = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{W}[\hbar, J]}$$

$$\mathcal{W}[\hbar, J] = -S[\phi_c] - J\phi_c - \frac{i\hbar}{2}\ln \operatorname{Det}(-S''[\phi_c])$$

$$\Gamma[\hbar, \phi_c] = -\mathcal{W}[\hbar, J] - J\phi_c = S[\phi_c] + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\ln \operatorname{Det}(-S''[\phi_c])$$

#### MICROCANONICAL QUANTUM FIELD THEORY (<u>Andrew E. Strominger</u>)

.

$$-\frac{\imath}{\hbar}\mathcal{W}[\hbar, J] = \ln\Omega_T[\hbar, J]$$

**GENERATING FUNCTION OF CONNECTED CORRELATION** 







# **CAL SIMULATIONS** $\lambda \phi^4$ scalar field theory in 1+1 dimensions $S[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 x \left\{ (\partial_{x_0} \phi)^2 - (\partial_{x_1} \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^4 \right\}$ $\ddot{\phi}(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau) = -\Delta_0 \phi + \Delta_1 \phi - m^2 \phi(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau) - \lambda \phi^3(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau)$ We remove the interaction

 $\dot{\pi}(x,\tau) = -rac{\delta \mathcal{A}[\phi,\pi]}{\delta \phi(x,\tau)}$  $\dot{\phi}(x, au) = rac{\delta \mathcal{A}[\phi,\pi]}{\delta \pi(x, au)}$ 

FREE CASE  $\lambda = 0$ 

4

 $\ddot{\phi}(k,\tau) + \omega_k^2 \phi(k,\tau)$ 

 $\phi(k,\tau) = \phi(k,0)$ 

**BLOW-UP** SOLUTION!

 $\phi(k,\tau) = \phi(k,0)$ 

$$\omega_k^2 = -k_0^2 + k_1^2 + m^2$$

$$\omega_k^2 = -k_0^2 + k_1^2 + m^2$$

$$\omega_k^2 = -k_0^2 + k_1^2 + m^2$$

$$\omega_k^2 = 0$$

$$\omega_k^2 > 0$$

$$iz_k = \sqrt{\omega_k^2}$$



### NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS: <u>RESULTS</u> 4 **POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS TO THE BLOW UP:** The role of the interaction

$$\ddot{\phi}(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau) = -\Delta_0\phi + \Delta_1\phi$$



### NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS: <u>RESULTS</u> **PROPAGATOR IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE:** consistent with Stochastic Quantisation

**Theoretical value** 

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $G(k_0, k_1)$ 

4

### **Computed value**

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

m=3, a=1.0,  $\lambda$ =0.01, La=128

0.105 0.095 0.09 0.0850.08 0.0750.07 0.065 0.06

### NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS: <u>RESULTS</u> 4 PROPAGATOR IN MINKOWSKI SPACE

**Theoretical value** 

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Computed value**

#### -0.08-0.09-0.1-0.1-0.12 -0.14-0.15-0.16 $-0.17 \\ -0.18$

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

# PROPAGATOR IN MINKOWSKI SPACE

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

able to produce sensible results.

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

We have found a new dynamics for relativistic quantum field fluctuations that is

- Deterministic dynamic to describe quantum fluctuations
- New time parameter: different from observers time
- Global constraint: generalised action is conserved

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS** $\lambda \phi^4$ scalar field theory in 1+1 dimensions **Boundary conditions: FRINGE BOUNDARIES CONDITION**

•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•
•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

4

#### WE CANNOT USE PERIODIC **BOUNDARIES BECAUSE** THEY DON'T PRESERVE CAUSALITY

### We have to introduce some ad hoc boundary conditions: **ABSORBING BOUNDARIES**

 $\ddot{\phi}(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau) = -\Delta_0\phi + \Delta_1\phi - m^2\phi(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau) - \lambda\phi^3(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau)$ 

$$\dot{\phi}(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau) = f_{\text{damping}}\left(-\Delta_0\phi + \Delta_1\phi - m^2\phi(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau)\right) - \lambda\phi^3(x_{\mathbf{i}},\tau)$$

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)